

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			·	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,453	03/23/2004	Takashi Ozawa	Q80636	1790
65565 SUGHRUE-26	7590 01/29/2007 5550		EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW			KLEMANSKI, HELENE G	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1755	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
·			01/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/806,453	OZAWA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Helene Klemanski	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 January 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	îdavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da ).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off te of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on 10 January 2007. A bithe date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replantance.</li> </ol>	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	41.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
<ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a  NOTE:		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li></ul>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 10.		ii be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9 and 11.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatic REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	ned.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	le Come de	urak
		Helene Klemansk Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1755	

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the provisional obviousness type double patenting rejections over SN's 10/503,894 and 10/807442 (now US Patent No. 7,077,894).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that claims 1 and 2 recite that each ink contains at least one dye having an anionic group and at least two heterocyclic groups. The examiner disagrees since the claim language is "wherein at least one dye of the dyes having an anionic group is a dye having at least two heterocyclic groups". Therefore, it is the examiner's position that only one of the dyes contained in the ink sets of the Kabalnov and Berger et al. references has to have two heterocyclic groups (i.e. the Direct Blue 199 of the Kabalnov reference and the metallized dye of the Berger et al, reference). Accordingly, the instant claims are still encompassed by the cyan ink of the Kabalnov reference and the yellow ink of the Berger et al. reference.